Metropolitan Water District of Southern California

Office of the General Counsel

Monthly Activity Report – March 2021

Metropolitan Cases

***California Department of Transportation v. Metropolitan* (Los Angeles County Superior Court)**

The California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) filed a condemnation action against Metropolitan seeking both a permanent drainage easement and a temporary grading easement within Metropolitan's Yorba Linda Feeder right-of-way in connection with a freeway widening and improvement project near the City of Pomona. The property acquisitions under the terms and conditions sought by Caltrans in its lawsuit would have threatened Metropolitan facilities and subordinated Metropolitan rights in an important right-of-way.

The matter has settled and the case dismissed with prejudice. Metropolitan secured both just compensation and paramount rights and other protections for Metropolitan in the condemned easements. All freeway construction and replacement work by Caltrans will be done in a manner that prevents damage to the Yorba Linda Feeder and interference to Metropolitan's ability to access and maintain its facilities and pipeline right-of-way.

Legal Department staff worked with the Metropolitan Substructures Team, Geodetics, Mapping and Survey Team, and the Real Property Group to secure the positive resolution of this case.

***Food & Water Watch, et al. v. Metropolitan, et al.* (Los Angeles Superior Court)**

In September 2018, Food & Water Watch and Center for Food Safety filed a reverse validation action asserting multiple causes of action challenging the Board's July 10, 2018 vote on California WaterFix.

Metropolitan filed a demurrer (motion to dismiss) to all the causes of action and SDCWA filed a demurrer only as to one of the claims. Plaintiffs amended the complaint and Metropolitan and SDCWA again filed similar demurrers. The court sustained (granted) Metropolitan's entire demurrer and SDCWA's demurrer without granting plaintiffs leave to amend concluding the case at the trial court level.

Plaintiffs appealed to the 2nd District Court of Appeal. After briefing was complete, the Court heard oral argument on March 9, 2021 via video appearance. On March 17, the Court issued its opinion affirming the judgment of the trial court in favor of Metropolitan on the entire case and SDCWA as to one cause of action. The decision becomes final on April 16. The last day for Food & Water Watch to file a petition for review by the California Supreme Court is April 26. (See General Counsel's February 2021 Activity Report.)

Matters Impacting Metropolitan

EPA Delays Effective Date of the Revised Lead and Copper Rule

On March 10, 2021, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) announced that it is extending the effective date of the Revised Lead and Copper Rule (LCR) from March 16, 2021 until June 17, 2021. The purpose of this additional time is to allow public comment on a second proposed action that would further extend the effective date until December 16, 2021 and for EPA to review the Revised LCR in a manner consistent with the public health purposes of the Safe Drinking Water Act, President Biden's Executive Order on Protecting Public Health and the Environment and Restoring Science to Tackle the Climate Crisis, the President's Chief of Staff's Regulatory Freeze Pending Review Memorandum, and in consultation with affected stakeholders. EPA will hold public listening sessions on April 28 and May 5, 2021. Also, starting in May 2021, EPA intends to host community virtual roundtables for local organizations to participate in a discussion of LCR-related topics. The roundtables will focus on communities that are disproportionately impacted by lead in drinking water.

Beginning in June 2021, EPA expects to host stakeholder roundtables where national organizations can provide their perspectives to the agency. More information about these outreach

efforts is on EPA's website: https://www.epa.gov/ground-water-and-drinking-water/lead-and-copper-rule-revisions-virtual-engagements.

EPA's proposed second action would also extend the deadline to comply with the Revised LCR to September 16, 2024. According to EPA, this extension would ensure that drinking water systems and primacy states continue to have the full three years provided by the federal Safe Drinking Water Act to take actions needed to assure regulatory compliance. EPA is seeking comment on this proposal for 30 days after it is published in the Federal Register.

EPA's Revised LCR, which was published in the Federal Register on January 15, 2021, requires water systems to replace at least 3% of lead service lines annually, which is less than the 7% required by the previous LCR. When the Revised LCR was published, two lawsuits were filed in the U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit challenging the new LCR pursuant to the Safe Drinking Water Act. One petition for review was filed by Newburgh Clean Water Project, NAACP, Sierra Club, and United Parents Against Lead, and the second petition was filed by the Natural Resources Defense Council. On March 1, 2021, California, eight other states, and the District of Columbia filed a Petition for Review in the U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit seeking a determination by the court that certain, unspecified aspects of EPA's Revised LCR are unlawful and must be vacated.

President Biden's "America's Jobs Plan" proposes to eliminate all lead pipes and service lines in U.S. drinking water systems. $45 billion will be invested in EPA's Drinking Water State Revolving Fund and Water Infrastructure Improvements for the Nation (WIIN) grants. Metropolitan staff will continue to monitor EPA's Revised LCR and related litigation.

California Proposes Listing PFOA as a Carcinogen and Evaluates Potential Health Effects of Other PFAS Under Proposition 65

On March 19, 2021, the California Environmental Protection Agency's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA) announced its intent to list perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA) as a carcinogen under the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, which is also known as Proposition 65.

OEHHA's determination that PFOA meets the criteria for listing as a chemical known to the state to cause cancer under Proposition 65 is based on a recent study by the National Toxicology Program which concludes that PFOA causes cancer. On March 26, OEHHA announced its review of the carcinogenic hazard of perfluorooctane sulfonate (PFOS) and its salts and transformation and degradation precursors for possible listing under Proposition 65. That same day, OEHHA also announced its assessment of the reproductive toxicity of perfluorodecanoic acid (PFDA) and its salts, perfluorohexanesulfonic acid (PFHxS) and its salts, perfluorononanoic acid (PFNA) and its salts, and perfluoroundecanoic acid (PFUnDA) and its salts for possible listing under Proposition 65.

Public comments on whether PFOA meets the criteria to be listed as a carcinogen under Proposition 65 are due by May 3, 2021. The public has until May 10, to submit information relevant to the assessment of the carcinogenicity of PFOS and the reproductive toxicity of PFDA, PFHxS, PFNA, and PFUnDA.

Proposition 65 requires businesses to provide warnings to Californians about significant exposures to chemicals that cause cancer, birth defects, or other reproductive harm. Proposition 65 also prohibits California businesses from knowingly discharging significant amounts of listed chemicals into sources of drinking water. In November 2017, OEHHA listed PFOA and PFOS as chemicals known to cause reproductive toxicity under Proposition 65. OEHHA's 2017 listing of PFOA and PFOS was based on the EPA's conclusion that the chemicals cause reproductive toxicity. Metropolitan staff will continue to monitor OEHHA's actions regarding PFOA, PFOS, and other PFAS under Proposition 65.

Matters Received by the Legal Department

Category	Received	Description	
Government Code Claims	1	Claimant's vehicle damaged from loose manhole cover in road	
Requests Pursuant to the Public Records Act	13	Requestor	Documents Requested
		Arcadis	Consultants selected and contract duration for On-Site Inspection and Construction Contract Administration Services
		AVEVA	List of firms qualified for the Control System Upgrade Program - Control System Prequalification
		California State University, Sacramento, Graduate Student Intern	Data on MWD's water efficiency rebates broken down by member agency
		City of Anaheim	List of approved LRP projects
		Los Angeles Times (3 requests)	(1) Form 700s filed by an MWD director in the last three years; (2) records relating to a former MWD employee; and (3) records relating to misconduct and/or harassment claims by listed MWD staff
		MaP Testing	Data on toilet rebates for the past five years by brand and model
		Private Citizen	Records relating to any allegations of misconduct by listed MWD staff
		Roux	Information on any underground facilities near project for the City of Los Angeles
		Sonoma-Marin Area Rail Transit	Request for proposal for MWD's online employee store for MWD merchandise
		United Storm Water	Bid submitted by Circle Green for Solids Removal and Disposal Services for Weymouth and Diemer Treatment Plants
		University of Virginia Student	Source of MWD water delivered to the City of Fullerton
Other Matters	1	Wage garnishment	

Date of Report: April 7, 2021

Bay-Delta and SWP Litigation	
Subject	**Status**

Consolidated DCP Revenue Bond Validation Action and CEQA Case
 	
Sierra Club, et al. v. California Department of Water Resources (CEQA, designated as lead case)
 	
DWR v. All Persons Interested (Validation)
 	
Sacramento County Superior Ct.
(Judge Earl)
	• **Validation Action**
	  • Metropolitan, Mojave Water Agency, Coachella Valley Water District, and Santa Clarita Valley Water Agency have filed answers in support
	  • Kern County Water Agency, Tulare Lake Basin Water Storage District, Oak Flat Water District, County of Kings, Kern Member Units & Dudley Ridge Water District, and City of Yuba City filed answers in opposition
	  • North Coast Rivers Alliance et al., Howard Jarvis Taxpayers Association, Sierra Club et al., County of Sacramento & Sacramento County Water Agency, CWIN et al., Clarksburg Fire Protection District, Delta Legacy Communities, Inc, and South Delta Water Agency & Central Delta Water Agency have filed answers in opposition
	  • Case ordered consolidated with the DCP Revenue Bond CEQA Case for pre-trial and trial purposes and assigned to Judge Earl for all purposes
	• **CEQA Case**
	  • Sierra Club, Center for Biological Diversity, Planning and Conservation League, Restore the Delta, and Friends of Stone Lakes National Wildlife Refuge filed a standalone CEQA lawsuit challenging DWR's adoption of the bond resolutions
	  • Alleges DWR violated CEQA by adopting bond resolutions before certifying a Final EIR for the Delta Conveyance Project
	  • Cases ordered consolidated for pre-trial and trial purposes

Subject	Status
SWP-CVP 2019 BiOp Cases *Pacific Coast Fed'n of Fishermen's Ass'ns, et al. v. Ross, et al.* (*PCFFA*) *Calif. Natural Resources Agency, et al. v. Ross, et al.* (*CNRA*) Federal District Court, Eastern Dist. of California, Fresno Division (Judge Drozd)	• SWC intervened in both *PCFFA and CNRA* cases • Briefing on federal defendants' motion to dismiss CNRA's California ESA claim is complete; no hearing date set and may be decided on the papers • Federal defendants circulated administrative records for each of the BiOps • December 18, 2020 PCFFA and CNRA filed motions to complete the administrative records or to consider extra-record evidence in the alternative with a hearing date of March 2, 2021 • Federal defendants sought and obtained a 90-day extension in the administrative record briefing schedule with briefing complete on June 7, 2021 • Federal defendants and CVP intervenors responded to Judge Drozd's question whether he should rule on the motion to dismiss CNRA's CESA claim saying hold off for now and promising a further update on or before May 11, 2021
CESA Incidental Take Permit Cases **Coordinated Case Name *CDWR Water Operations Cases*, JCCP 5117** **(Coordination Trial Judge Gevercer)** *Metropolitan & Mojave Water Agency v. Calif. Dept. of Fish & Wildlife, et al*. (CESA/CEQA/Breach of Contract) *State Water Contractors & Kern County Water Agency v. Calif. Dept. of Fish & Wildlife, et al*. (CESA/CEQA) *Tehama-Colusa Canal Auth., et al. v. Calif. Dept. of Water Resources* (CEQA) *San Bernardino Valley Municipal Water Dist. v. Calif. Dept. of Water Resources, et al.* (CEQA/CESA/ Breach of Contract/Takings) *Sierra Club, et al. v. Calif. Dept. of Water Resources* (CEQA/Delta Reform Act/Public Trust)	• All 8 cases ordered coordinated in Sacramento County Superior Court • Stay on discovery issued until coordination trial judge orders otherwise • Deadlines to prepare the administrative records extended to April 15, 2021 in the four cases originally filed in Fresno County Superior Court, including *MWD v. CDFW* • All four Fresno cases transferred to Sacramento to be heard with the four other coordinated cases • SWC and Metropolitan have submitted Public Records Act requests seeking administrative record materials and other relevant information • Initial Case Management Conference held March 19, 2021 at 2:00 p.m. • Next Case Management Conference set for May 7, 2021 at 1:30 p.m. • May 7, 2021 Hearing on DWR's motion to bifurcate and stay the water contractors' breach of contract and takings claims • May 7, 2021 Hearing on water contractors' motion to lift the discovery stay re breach of

North Coast Rivers Alliance, et al. v. Calif. Dept. of Water Resources (CEQA/Delta Reform Act/Public Trust) *Central Delta Water Agency, et. al. v. Calif. Dept. of Water Resources* (CEQA/Delta Reform Act/Public Trust/ Delta Protection Acts/Area of Origin) *San Francisco Baykeeper, et al. v. Calif. Dept. of Water Resources, et al*. (CEQA/CESA)	contract and takings and potential motion for leave to file second amended complaints
Subject	**Status**
CDWR Environmental Impact Cases **Sacramento Superior Ct. Case No. JCCP 4942, 3d DCA Case No. C091771** **(20 Coordinated Cases)** Validation Action *DWR v. All Persons Interested* CEQA 17 cases CESA/Incidental Take Permit 2 cases	• Cases dismissed after DWR rescinded project approval, bond resolutions, decertified the EIR, and CDFW rescinded the CESA incidental take permit • January 10, 2020 – Nine motions for attorneys' fees and costs denied in their entirety • Parties have appealed attorneys' fees and costs rulings • Reporter's transcript filed December 23, 2020 • Parties stipulated to extend briefing schedule with Appellants' opening briefs due April 2, 2021
COA Addendum/ **No-Harm Agreement** *North Coast Rivers Alliance v. DWR* Sacramento County Superior Ct. (Judge Gevercer)	• Plaintiffs allege violations of CEQA, Delta Reform Act & public trust doctrine • USBR Statement of Non-Waiver of Sovereign Immunity filed September 2019 • Westlands Water District and North Delta Water Agency granted leave to intervene • Metropolitan & SWC monitoring • Deadline to prepare administrative record extended to May 24, 2021
Delta Plan Amendments and Program EIR 4 Consolidated Cases Sacramento County Superior Ct. (Judge Gevercer) *North Coast Rivers Alliance, et al. v. Delta Stewardship Council* (lead case) *Central Delta Water Agency, et al. v. Delta Stewardship Council* *Friends of the River, et al. v. Delta Stewardship Council* *California Water Impact Network, et al. v. Delta Stewardship Council*	• Cases challenge, among other things, the Delta Plan Updates recommending dual conveyance as the best means to update the SWP Delta conveyance infrastructure to further the coequal goals • Allegations relating to "Delta pool" water rights theory and public trust doctrine raise concerns for SWP and CVP water supplies • Cases consolidated for pre-trial and trial under *North Coast Rivers Alliance v. Delta Stewardship Council* • SWC granted leave to intervene • Metropolitan supports SWC

Delta Stewardship Council Cases 3 Remaining Cases (CEQA claims challenging original 2013 Delta Plan EIR) (Judge Chang) *North Coast Rivers Alliance, et al. v. Delta Stewardship Council* *Central Delta Water Agency, et al. v. Delta Stewardship Council* *California Water Impact Network, et al. v. Delta Stewardship Council*	• Petitioners' opening briefs due April 20, 2021 • Parties stipulated to the three remaining *Delta Stewardship Council Cases* challenging the 2013 Program EIR under CEQA being heard with the four cases challenging the 2018 amendments and Program EIR; request to assign 2018 and 2013 cases to a single judge pending after reassignment of the 2018 cases • SWC and several individual members, including Metropolitan, SLDMWA and Westlands have dismissed their remaining 2013 CEQA claims but remain intervenor-defendants in the three remaining *Delta Stewardship Council Cases* • Petitioners' opening briefs in the 4 consolidated Delta Plan Amendments cases due April 20, 2021; opposition briefs due July 19, 2021; replies due Aug. 30, 2021 • Briefing and hearing schedule for three remaining 2013 cases TBD after February 25, 2021 Case Management Conference
Subject	**Status**
SWP Contract Extension Validation Action Sacramento County Superior Ct. (Judge Culhane) *DWR v. All Persons Interested in the Matter, etc.*	• DWR seeks a judgment that the Contract Extension amendments to the State Water Contracts are lawful • Metropolitan and 7 other SWCs filed answers in support of validity to become parties • Four answers filed in opposition denying validity on multiple grounds raised in affirmative defenses • Case deemed related to the two CEQA cases below and assigned to Judge Culhane • Parties meeting and conferring on Administrative Record disputes
SWP Contract Extension CEQA Cases Sacramento County Superior Ct. (Judge Culhane) *North Coast Rivers Alliance, et al. v. DWR* *Planning & Conservation League, et al. v. DWR*	• Petitions for writ of mandate alleging CEQA and Delta Reform Act violations filed on January 8 & 10, 2019 • Deemed related to DWR's Contract Extension Validation Action and assigned to Judge Culhane • Administrative Record completed • DWR filed its answers on September 28, 2020

Subject	Status
Delta Conveyance Project Soil Exploration Case *Central Delta Water Agency, et al. v. DWR* Sacramento County Superior Ct. (Judge Chang)	• Filed August 10, 2020 • Plaintiffs Central Delta Water Agency, South Delta Water Agency and Local Agencies of the North Delta • One cause of action alleging that DWR's adoption of an Initial Study/Mitigated Negative Declaration (IS/MND) for soil explorations needed for the Delta Conveyance Project violates CEQA • March 24, 2021 Second Amended Petition filed to add allegation that DWR's addendum re changes in locations and depths of certain borings violates CEQA • Deadline to prepare the administrative record extended to April 9, 2021
Water Management Tools Contract Amendment *California Water Impact Network et al. v. DWR* Sacramento County Superior Ct. (Judge Earl) *North Coast Rivers Alliance, et al. v. DWR* Sacramento County Super. Ct. (Judge Earl)	• Filed September 28, 2020 • CWIN and Aqualliance allege one cause of action for violation of CEQA • NCRA et al. allege four causes of action for violations of CEQA, the Delta Reform Act, Public Trust Doctrine and seeking declaratory relief • Deadline to prepare the administrative record extended to May 28, 2021 in *CWIN v. DWR* case and June 1, 2021 in *NCRA v. DWR* case • *CWIN* case reassigned to Judge Earl so both cases will be heard together

San Diego County Water Authority v. Metropolitan, et al.		
Cases	**Date**	**Status**
2010, 2012	Aug. 13-14, 2020	Final judgment and writ issued. Transmitted to the Board on August 17.
	Aug. 28, Sept. 1	SDCWA and Metropolitan filed memoranda of costs.
	Sept. 11	Metropolitan filed notice of appeal of judgment and writ.
	Sept. 14, 16	Metropolitan filed motion to strike SDCWA's costs memorandum, and SDCWA filed motion to strike or tax Metropolitan's costs memorandum.
	Nov. 12	Hearing on cross-motions for prevailing party.
	Dec. 16	Hearing on prevailing party, if any, as to attorneys' fees.
	Jan. 12, 2021	Hearing on motions to strike or tax costs memoranda.
	Jan. 13	Court issued order finding SDCWA is the prevailing party on the Exchange Agreement, entitled to attorneys' fees and costs under the contract.
	Feb. 4	Metropolitan filed opening appellate brief regarding final judgment and writ.
	Feb. 10	Court issued order awarding SDCWA statutory costs, granting SDCWA's and denying Metropolitan's related motions.
	Feb. 16	Per SDCWA's request, Metropolitan paid contract damages in 2010-2012 cases judgment and interest. Metropolitan made same payment in Feb. 2019, which SDCWA rejected.
	Feb. 25	Metropolitan filed notice of appeal of Jan. 13 (prevailing party on Exchange Agreement) and Feb. 10 (statutory costs) orders.
2014, 2016	Aug. 28, 2020	SDCWA served first amended (2014) and second amended (2016) petitions/complaints.
	Sept. 28	Metropolitan filed demurrers and motions to strike portions of the amended petitions/complaints.
	Sept. 28-29	Member agencies City of Torrance, Eastern Municipal Water District, Foothill Municipal Water District, Las Virgenes Municipal Water District, Three Valleys Municipal Water District, Municipal Water District of Orange County, West Basin Municipal Water District, and Western Municipal Water District filed joinders to the demurrers and motions to strike.

Cases	Date	Status
2014, 2016 (cont.)	Feb. 10	Hearing on demurrers and motions to strike.
	Feb. 16	Court issued order denying Metropolitan's demurrers and motions to strike, allowing SDCWA to retain contested allegations in amended petitions/complaints.
	March 22	Metropolitan filed answers to the amended petitions/complaints and cross-complaints against SDCWA for declaratory relief and reformation, in the 2014, 2016 cases.
	March 22-23	Member agencies City of Torrance, Eastern Municipal Water District, Foothill Municipal Water District, Las Virgenes Municipal Water District, Three Valleys Municipal Water District, Municipal Water District of Orange County, West Basin Municipal Water District, and Western Municipal Water District filed answers to the amended petitions/complaints in the 2014, 2016 cases.
2017	July 23	Dismissal without prejudice entered.
2018	July 28	Parties filed a stipulation and application to designate the case complex and related to the 2010-2017 cases, and to assign the case to Judge Massullo's court.
	Nov. 13	Court ordered case complex and assigned to Judge Massullo's court.
All Cases	March 17	Case Management Conference on 2010-2018 cases (postponed from March 3); no substantive action.
	April 15	Next Case Management Conference on 2010-2018 cases.

Outside Counsel Agreements				
Firm Name	**Matter Name**	**Agreement No.**	**Effective Date**	**Contract Maximum**
Andrade Gonzalez LLP	MWD v DWR, CDFW and CDNR Incidental Take Permit (ITP) CESA/CEQA/Contract Litigation	185894	07/20	$100,000
Aleshire & Wynder	Oil, Mineral and Gas Leasing	174613	08/18	$50,000
Atkinson Andelson Loya Ruud & Romo	Employee Relations	59302	04/04	$1,159,763
	MWD v. Collins	185892	06/20	$60,000
	MWD Drone and Airspace Issues	193452	08/20	$50,000
Best, Best & Krieger	Navajo Nation v. U.S. Department of the Interior, et al.	54332	05/03	$185,000
	Iron Mountain SMARA (Surface Mining and Reclamation Act)	158043	07/17	$250,000
	Bay-Delta Conservation Plan/Delta Conveyance Project (with SWCs)	170697	08/17	$500,000
	Environmental Compliance Issues	185888	05/20	$50,000
Blooston, Mordkofsky, Dickens, Duffy & Prendergast, LLP	FCC and Communications Matters	110227	11/10	$100,000
Buchalter, a Professional Corporation	Union Pacific Industry Track Agreement	193464	12/07/20	$50,000
Burke, Williams & Sorensen, LLP	Real Property - General	180192	01/19	$100,000
	Labor and Employment Matters	180207	04/19	$50,000
	General Real Estate Matters	180209	08/19	$100,000
	Delta Conveyance Project Validation Action	185893	07/20	$100,000
Law Office of Alexis S.M. Chiu*	Bond Counsel	174595	07/18	N/A
Cislo & Thomas LLP	Intellectual Property	170703	08/17	$75,000

Firm Name	Matter Name	Agreement No.	Effective Date	Contract Maximum
Curls Bartling P.C.*	Bond Counsel	174596	07/18	N/A
Duane Morris LLP	SWRCB Curtailment Process	138005	09/14	$615,422
Duncan, Weinberg, Genzer & Pembroke PC	Power Issues	6255	09/95	$3,175,000
Ellison, Schneider, Harris & Donlan	Colorado River Issues	69374	09/05	$175,000
	Issues re SWRCB	84457	06/07	$200,000
Haden Law Office	Real Property Matters re Agricultural Land	180194	01/19	$50,000
Hanson Bridgett LLP	SDCWA v. MWD	124103	03/12	$1,100,000
	Finance Advice	158024	12/16	$100,000
	Deferred Compensation/HR	170706	10/17	$300,000
	Food and Water Watch v. MWD	174612	09/18	$200,000
	Tax Issues	180200	04/19	$50,000
Hawkins Delafield & Wood LLP*	Bond Counsel	174601	07/18	N/A
Horvitz & Levy	SDCWA v. MWD	124100	02/12	~~$600,000~~ $900,000
	General Appellate Advice	146616	12/15	$100,000
	Food and Water Watch v. MWD Appeal	185862	09/19	$60,000
Hunt Ortmann Palffy Nieves Darling & Mah, Inc.	Construction Contracts/COVID-19 Emergency	185883	03/20	$40,000
Internet Law Center	HR Matter	174603	05/18	$60,000
Jackson Lewis P.C.	Employment: Department of Labor Office of Contract Compliance (OFCCP)	137992	02/14	$45,000
Kegel, Tobin & Truce	Workers' Compensation	180206	06/19	$100,000
Lesnick Prince & Pappas LLP	Topock/PG&E's Bankruptcy	185859	10/19	$30,000

Firm Name	Matter Name	Agreement No.	Effective Date	Contract Maximum
Liebert Cassidy Whitmore	Labor and Employment	158032	02/17	$201,444
	EEO Investigations	180193	01/19	$100,000
	FLSA Audit	180199	02/19	$50,000
	EEO Investigation	180205	05/19	~~$50,000~~ $70,000
LiMandri & Jonna LLP	Bacon Island Subrogation	200457	03/21	$50,000
Manatt, Phelps & Phillips	In Re Tronox Incorporated	103827	08/09	$540,000
	SDCWA v. MWD rate litigation	146627	06/16	$2,900,000
Meyers Nave Riback Silver & Wilson	OCWD v. Northrop Corporation	118445	07/11	$2,300,000
	IID v. MWD	185900	08/20	~~$100,000~~ $200,000
	IID v. MWD (Contract Litigation)	193472	02/21	$100,000
Morgan, Lewis & Bockius	SDCWA v. MWD	110226	07/10	$8,750,000
Musick, Peeler & Garrett LLP	Colorado River Aqueduct Electric Cables Repair/Contractor Claims	193461	11/20	$100,000
Nixon Peabody LLP*	Bond Counsel	174600	07/18	N/A
Olson Remcho LLP	Government Law	131968	07/14	$200,000
	Ethics Office	170714	01/18	$350,000
Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan	Appellate	174598	04/18	$100,000
Ryan & Associates	Leasing Issues	43714	06/01	$100,000
Seyfarth Shaw LLP	HR Litigation	185863	12/19	$250,000
Stradling Yocca Carlson & Rauth*	Bond Counsel	174599	07/18	N/A
	Financial Disclosure	185880	06/21	N/A

Firm Name	Matter Name	Agreement No.	Effective Date	Contract Maximum
Theodora Oringher PC	OHL USA, Inc. v. MWD	185854	09/19	$700,000
	Construction Contracts - General Conditions Update	185896	07/20	$50,000
Thomas Law Group	MWD v. DWR, CDFW, CDNR – Incidental Take Permit (ITP) CESA/CEQA/Contract Litigation	185891	05/20	$250,000
Thompson Coburn LLP	FERC Representation re Colorado River Aqueduct Electrical Transmission System	122465	12/11	$100,000
	NERC Energy Reliability Standards	193451	08/21	$25,000
Van Ness Feldman, LLP	General Litigation	170704	07/18	$50,000
	Colorado River MSHCP	180191	01/19	$50,000
	Bay-Delta and State Water Project Environmental Compliance	193457	10/15/20	$50,000
Western Water and Energy	California Independent System Operator Related Matters	193463	11/20/20	$100,000

*Expenditures paid by Bond Proceeds/Finance