

Update on Treatment Fixed Charge Workgroup Finance & Insurance Committee Item 6d October 10, 2016 Dr. Chesnutt, A&N Technical Services

Synopsis of April 2016 Proposal

- Objectives:
 - Comply with industry standard cost of service principles
 - Better align costs and cost recovery through revenues
 - Achieve a level of fixed revenue recovery
- Proposal: recover COS-based fixed demand and fixed standby costs, approximately 38% of Treatment costs, through fixed charges
 - Fixed standby costs apportioned based on TYRA
 - Fixed demand costs apportioned based on a three-year trailing maximum coincident peak day flow
- Remainder of Treatment costs, approximately 62%, recovered through a volumetric charge

Board voted to define objectives of a new treated water charge and analyze alternatives for further discussion/possible recommendation

Item 6d Slide 2

Executive Committee

- Policy Issues to be Addressed by Member Agency Workgroup
 - Define objectives of a fixed treated water charge
 - Determine costs to be included in fixed charge
 - Determine allocation methodology
 - Address issues related to implementation of a new charge
 - Consider implementation in January 2018 or as part of the next biennial budget
- Dr. Chesnutt (A & N Technical Services) brought on to provide technical facilitation

Workgroup Process

- Member Agency driven
 - Define "success"
 - Provide opportunity to hear and be heard
 - Express concerns, issues and ideas
- Defining the sandbox
 - Use current Cost of Service analysis
 - Other considerations that may be limiting
- Member Agency objectives
 - What is the problem we are trying to solve?
- Others

Treatment Fixed Charge Workgroup: Meeting #1, September 1, 2016

- Workgroup Purpose, Process, and Logistics
 - Feedback on Process and Schedule
- Treated Water Cost Recovery Background
 - Questions and requests for additional information (Metropolitan staff)
- Defining Objectives (What is the problem to be solved?)
 - Group Elicitation
 - Requested Input from Workgroup Before Meeting #2: Provide a definition of success and a Problem Statement
 - Facilitator compiled list of "Attributes of Success"

Finance & Insurance Committee

Item 6d Slide 5

October 10, 2016

Treatment Fixed Charge Workgroup: Meeting #2, September 29, 2016

- Information Items (in response to requests by Workgroup):
 - Financial Statement and Rate Impacts of Write-Down of Treatment Plants
 - Water Treatment Plant Flows
- Rate Design
 - Consensus Problem Statement Group Process
 - Working with Workgroup's submitted Problem Statements to derive a consensus version – no single consensus reached
 - Attributes of a successful treated water rate design collective review requested and input provided

Finance & Insurance Committee

Item 6d Slide 6

October 10, 2016

Treatment Fixed Charge Workgroup: Meeting #2 (continued)

- Requested Input from Workgroup Before Meeting #3: Rank in order the top ten attributes of success
- Facilitator to nominate a proposal for a consensus Problem Statement
 - To be reviewed and input/approval given in Meeting #3, scheduled for October 20

Next Steps

Workgroup is a Member Agency-driven Process

- Additional Information Requests
- Consensus Problem Statement
- Next Meeting October 20

Workgroup Meeting Schedule, 2016

Workgroup meeting dates:

- September 1
- September 29
- October 20
- November 17
- December 15

Member Agency Managers meeting dates:

- September 16
- October 14
- November 18
- December 16
- F&I Committee meetings in September, October, November and December

