



- Board of Directors
Communications and Legislation Committee

6/9/2015 Board Meeting

8-8

Subject

Express support for H.R. 1278 (Capps, D-CA) – Water Infrastructure Resiliency and Sustainability Act of 2015

Executive Summary

This board letter addresses H.R. 1278 (**Attachment 1**) which, if adopted, would authorize the Administrator of the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to establish a program for awarding grants to owners or operators of water systems to increase resiliency or adaptability of their systems to any ongoing or forecasted changes to the hydrologic conditions of a region of the United States.

Background

The author of this legislation stated that the bill was introduced to “help local communities increase their resiliency to the impacts of climate change... [and would] help water utilities prepare for the impacts of climate-related risks to our water supplies.” (**Attachment 2 - Press Release**). H.R. 1278 is supported by a coalition of water agencies who share the intent of the author, including the Association of California Water Agencies (ACWA), the Association of Metropolitan Water Agencies (AMWA), the California Association of Sanitation Agencies (CASA), the National Association of Water Companies (NAWC) and the National Association of Clean Water Agencies (NACWA), and WateReuse; as well as a coalition of environmental organizations, including the Natural Resources Defense Council (NRDC), American Rivers, and the National Wildlife Federation. (**Attachment 3 - Coalition Letter**).

Details

H.R. 1278, if passed, may offer benefits to Metropolitan through potential opportunities to participate in grant programs authorized through the law. The program would offer grant funding of \$50 million for five fiscal years for a total of \$250 million. The criteria and types of programs that the bill would fund appear to be broad enough that Metropolitan and its member agencies could qualify, although funds would be prioritized for projects responding to “greatest and most immediate risk of facing significant negative impacts due to changing hydrologic conditions.” Funds could be used for planning, design, construction, implementation, operation, or maintenance of a qualified program or project.

Grant funding would be made available to general categories including: water supply; wastewater; stormwater, and watersheds; with additional specified sub-areas including: water conservation/water use efficiency; water system infrastructure; water/wastewater/stormwater treatment; groundwater remediation; recycled water; desalination; watershed preservation/protection; energy efficiency; advanced water treatment; reservoir reoperations; water banking; water demand management; conservation pricing; irrigation improvements; groundwater recharge; stormwater capture; conjunctive use; flood damage reduction; changing hydrologic conditions impacts; and increasing resilience of water systems and regional and hydrological basins (including the Colorado River Basin) to rapid hydrologic change or natural disaster.

The program would be administered by EPA. The federal share of this grant program may not exceed 50 percent and the nonfederal share would be allowed to include in-kind services. Also, up to 20 percent of the funding in any fiscal year would be made available to grantees for reducing flood damage, risk, and vulnerability.

Policy

M.I. 44813 – March 12, 2002 Policy Principle: Global Climate Change and Water Resources Planning

California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA)

CEQA determination for Option #1:

The proposed action is not defined as a project under CEQA because it involves continuing administrative activities, such as general policy and procedure making (Section 15378(b)(2) of the State CEQA Guidelines). In addition, where it can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that the proposed action in question may have a significant effect on the environment, the proposed action is not subject to CEQA (Section 15061(b)(3) of the State CEQA Guidelines).

The CEQA determination is: Determine that the proposed action is not subject to CEQA pursuant to Sections 15378(b)(2) and 15061(b)(3) of the State CEQA Guidelines.

CEQA determination for Option #2:

None required

Board Options

Option #1

Adopt the CEQA determination that the proposed action is not subject to CEQA, and
Authorize the General Manger to express support for H.R. 1278.

Fiscal Impact: No fiscal impact to Metropolitan

Business Analysis: If passed, this bill would develop a new program for water system adaptation grants that Metropolitan and its member agencies could apply for.

Option #2

Take no action.

Fiscal Impact: No fiscal impact to Metropolitan

Business Analysis: If H.R. 1278 fails passage, this grant program would not be developed.

Staff Recommendation

Option #1



Dee Zinke
Deputy General Manager, External Affairs
6/1/2015
Date



Jeffrey Knightlinger
General Manager
6/2/2015
Date

Attachment 1 – H.R. 1278 as introduced May 4, 2015

Attachment 2 – Press Release

Attachment 3 – Coalition Letter

I

114TH CONGRESS
1ST SESSION

H. R. 1278

To authorize the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency to establish a program of awarding grants to owners or operators of water systems to increase resiliency or adaptability of the systems to any ongoing or forecasted changes to the hydrologic conditions of a region of the United States.

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

MARCH 4, 2015

Mrs. CAPPs introduced the following bill; which was referred to the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure, and in addition to the Committees on Energy and Commerce and Natural Resources, for a period to be subsequently determined by the Speaker, in each case for consideration of such provisions as fall within the jurisdiction of the committee concerned

A BILL

To authorize the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency to establish a program of awarding grants to owners or operators of water systems to increase resiliency or adaptability of the systems to any ongoing or forecasted changes to the hydrologic conditions of a region of the United States.

1 *Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-*
2 *tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,*

2

1 **SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.**

2 This Act may be cited as the “Water Infrastructure
3 Resiliency and Sustainability Act of 2015”.

4 **SEC. 2. WATER INFRASTRUCTURE RESILIENCY AND SUS-**
5 **TAINABILITY.**

6 (a) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

7 (1) ADMINISTRATOR.—The term “Adminis-
8 trator” means the Administrator of the Environ-
9 mental Protection Agency.

10 (2) HYDROLOGIC CONDITIONS.—The term “hy-
11 drologic conditions” means the quality, quantity, or
12 reliability of the water resources of a region of the
13 United States.

14 (3) OWNER OR OPERATOR OF A WATER SYS-
15 TEM.—

16 (A) IN GENERAL.—The term “owner or
17 operator of a water system” means an entity
18 (including a regional, State, Tribal, local, mu-
19 nicipal, or private entity) that owns or operates
20 a water system.

21 (B) INCLUSION.—The term “owner or op-
22 erator of a water system” includes—

23 (i) a non-Federal entity that has oper-
24 ational responsibilities for a federally, trib-
25 ally, or State-owned water system; and

3

1 (ii) an entity established by an agree-
2 ment between—

3 (I) an entity that owns or oper-
4 ates a water system; and

5 (II) at least one other entity.

6 (4) WATER SYSTEM.—The term “water sys-
7 tem” means—

8 (A) a community water system (as defined
9 in section 1401 of the Safe Drinking Water Act
10 (42 U.S.C. 300f));

11 (B) a treatment works (as defined in sec-
12 tion 212 of the Federal Water Pollution Control
13 Act (33 U.S.C. 1292)), including a municipal
14 separate storm sewer system (as such term is
15 used in the Federal Water Pollution Control
16 Act (33 U.S.C. 1251 et seq.));

17 (C) a decentralized wastewater treatment
18 system for domestic sewage;

19 (D) a groundwater storage and replenish-
20 ment system;

21 (E) a system for transport and delivery of
22 water for irrigation or conservation; or

23 (F) a natural or engineered system that
24 manages floodwaters.

1 (b) PROGRAM.—The Administrator shall establish
2 and implement a program, to be known as the Water In-
3 frastructure Resiliency and Sustainability Program, under
4 which the Administrator awards grants in each of fiscal
5 years 2016 through 2020 to owners or operators of water
6 systems for the purpose of increasing the resiliency or
7 adaptability of the systems to any ongoing or forecasted
8 changes (based on the best available research and data)
9 to the hydrologic conditions of a region of the United
10 States.

11 (c) USE OF FUNDS.—As a condition on receipt of a
12 grant under this section, an owner or operator of a water
13 system shall agree to use the grant funds exclusively to
14 assist in the planning, design, construction, implementa-
15 tion, operation, or maintenance of a program or project
16 that meets the purpose described in subsection (b) by—

17 (1) conserving water or enhancing water use ef-
18 ficiency, including through the use of water metering
19 and electronic sensing and control systems to meas-
20 ure the effectiveness of a water efficiency program;

21 (2) modifying or relocating existing water sys-
22 tem infrastructure made or projected to be signifi-
23 cantly impaired by changing hydrologic conditions;

24 (3) preserving or improving water quality, in-
25 cluding through measures to manage, reduce, treat,

1 or reuse municipal stormwater, wastewater, or
2 drinking water;

3 (4) investigating, designing, or constructing
4 groundwater remediation, recycled water, or desali-
5 nation facilities or systems to serve existing commu-
6 nities;

7 (5) enhancing water management by increasing
8 watershed preservation and protection, such as
9 through the use of natural or engineered green in-
10 frastructure in the management, conveyance, or
11 treatment of water, wastewater, or stormwater;

12 (6) enhancing energy efficiency or the use and
13 generation of renewable energy in the management,
14 conveyance, or treatment of water, wastewater, or
15 stormwater;

16 (7) supporting the adoption and use of ad-
17 vanced water treatment, water supply management
18 (such as reservoir reoperation and water banking),
19 or water demand management technologies, projects,
20 or processes (such as water reuse and recycling,
21 adaptive conservation pricing, and groundwater
22 banking) that maintain or increase water supply or
23 improve water quality;

24 (8) modifying or replacing existing systems or
25 constructing new systems for existing communities

6

1 or land currently in agricultural production to im-
2 prove water supply, reliability, storage, or convey-
3 ance in a manner that—

4 (A) promotes conservation or improves the
5 efficiency of utilization of available water sup-
6 plies; and

7 (B) does not further exacerbate stresses on
8 ecosystems or cause redirected impacts by de-
9 grading water quality or increasing net green-
10 house gas emissions;

11 (9) supporting practices and projects, such as
12 improved irrigation systems, water banking and
13 other forms of water transactions, groundwater re-
14 charge, stormwater capture, groundwater conjunc-
15 tive use, and reuse or recycling of drainage water,
16 to improve water quality or promote more efficient
17 water use on land currently in agricultural produc-
18 tion;

19 (10) reducing flood damage, risk, and vulner-
20 ability by—

21 (A) restoring floodplains, wetlands, and
22 uplands integral to flood management, protec-
23 tion, prevention, and response;

24 (B) modifying levees, floodwalls, and other
25 structures through setbacks, notches, gates, re-

1 moval, or similar means to facilitate reconne-
2 tion of rivers to floodplains, reduce flood stage
3 height, and reduce damage to properties and
4 populations;

5 (C) providing for acquisition and easement
6 of flood-prone lands and properties in order to
7 reduce damage to property and risk to popu-
8 lations; or

9 (D) promoting land use planning that pre-
10 vents future floodplain development;

11 (11) conducting and completing studies or as-
12 sessments to project how changing hydrologic condi-
13 tions may impact the future operations and sustain-
14 ability of water systems; or

15 (12) developing and implementing measures to
16 increase the resilience of water systems and regional
17 and hydrological basins, including the Colorado
18 River Basin, to rapid hydrologic change or a natural
19 disaster (such as tsunami, earthquake, flood, or vol-
20 canic eruption).

21 (d) APPLICATION.—To seek a grant under this sec-
22 tion, the owner or operator of a water system shall submit
23 to the Administrator an application that—

24 (1) includes a proposal of the program, strat-
25 egy, or infrastructure improvement to be planned,

8

1 designed, constructed, implemented, or maintained
2 by the water system;

3 (2) cites the best available research or data that
4 demonstrate—

5 (A) the risk to the water resources or in-
6 frastructure of the water system as a result of
7 ongoing or forecasted changes to the
8 hydrological system of a region, including rising
9 sea levels and changes in precipitation patterns;
10 and

11 (B) how the proposed program, strategy,
12 or infrastructure improvement would perform
13 under the anticipated hydrologic conditions;

14 (3) explains how the proposed program, strat-
15 egy, or infrastructure improvement is expected—

16 (A) to enhance the resiliency of the water
17 system, including source water protection for
18 community water systems, to the anticipated
19 hydrologic conditions; or

20 (B) to increase efficiency in the use of en-
21 ergy or water of the water system; and

22 (4) describes how the proposed program, strat-
23 egy, or infrastructure improvement is consistent with
24 an applicable State, tribe, or local climate adaptation
25 plan, if any.

1 (e) PRIORITY.—

2 (1) WATER SYSTEMS AT GREATEST AND MOST
3 IMMEDIATE RISK.—In selecting grantees under this
4 section, subject to subsection (h)(2), the Adminis-
5 trator shall give priority to owners or operators of
6 water systems that are, based on the best available
7 research and data, at the greatest and most imme-
8 diate risk of facing significant negative impacts due
9 to changing hydrologic conditions.

10 (2) GOALS.—In selecting among applicants de-
11 scribed in paragraph (1), the Administrator shall en-
12 sure that, to the maximum extent practicable, the
13 final list of applications funded for each year in-
14 cludes a substantial number that propose to utilize
15 innovative approaches to meet one or more of the
16 following goals:

17 (A) Promoting more efficient water use,
18 water conservation, water reuse, or recycling.

19 (B) Using decentralized, low-impact devel-
20 opment technologies and nonstructural ap-
21 proaches, including practices that use, enhance,
22 or mimic the natural hydrological cycle or pro-
23 tect natural flows.

10

1 (C) Reducing stormwater runoff or flood-
2 ing by protecting or enhancing natural eco-
3 system functions.

4 (D) Modifying, upgrading, enhancing, or
5 replacing existing water system infrastructure
6 in response to changing hydrologic conditions.

7 (E) Improving water quality or quantity
8 for agricultural and municipal uses, including
9 through salinity reduction.

10 (F) Providing multiple benefits, including
11 to water supply enhancement or demand reduc-
12 tion, water quality protection or improvement,
13 increased flood protection, and ecosystem pro-
14 tection or improvement.

15 (f) COST-SHARING.—

16 (1) FEDERAL SHARE.—The share of the cost of
17 any program, strategy, or infrastructure improve-
18 ment that is the subject of a grant awarded by the
19 Administrator to the owner or operator of a water
20 system under subsection (b) paid through funds dis-
21 tributed under this section shall not exceed 50 per-
22 cent of the cost of the program, strategy, or infra-
23 structure improvement.

24 (2) CALCULATION OF NON-FEDERAL SHARE.—

25 In calculating the non-Federal share of the cost of

11

1 a program, strategy, or infrastructure improvement
2 proposed by a water system in an application sub-
3 mitted under subsection (d), the Administrator
4 shall—

5 (A) include the value of any in-kind serv-
6 ices that are integral to the completion of the
7 program, strategy, or infrastructure improve-
8 ment, including reasonable administrative and
9 overhead costs; and

10 (B) not include any other amount that the
11 water system involved receives from the Federal
12 Government.

13 (g) REPORT TO CONGRESS.—Not later than 3 years
14 after the date of the enactment of this Act, and every 3
15 years thereafter, the Administrator shall submit to the
16 Congress a report on progress in implementing this sec-
17 tion, including information on project applications received
18 and funded annually.

19 (h) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—

20 (1) IN GENERAL.—To carry out this section,
21 there is authorized to be appropriated \$50,000,000
22 for each of fiscal years 2016 through 2020.

23 (2) LIMITATION.—Of the amount made avail-
24 able to carry out this section for a fiscal year, not
25 more than 20 percent may be made available to

12

1 grantees for activities described in subsection (c)(10)
2 (relating to reducing flood damage, risk, and vulner-
3 ability).

○

Capps Reintroduces Bills to Help Communities Prepare for Climate Change

Mar 4, 2015 Issues: Energy & Environment

Legislation would help California address issues such as drought and sea level rise

Rep. Lois Capps (CA-24) reintroduced three climate change-related bills that would help local communities increase their resiliency to the impacts of climate change. The bills would help local agencies plan for public health impacts, help water utilities prepare for the impacts of climate-related risks to our water supplies, and help coastal states plan and implement climate change mitigation projects.

From heat waves, droughts, wildfires, and other extreme weather events, the impacts of climate change pose very real threats to our public health, infrastructure, and economy,” Capps said. “We must do more to help our local communities prepare for these inevitable impacts, and these commonsense pieces of legislation will help ensure that we’re properly prepared for the future.”

The Coastal State Climate Change Planning Act would help coastal states plan and implement climate change mitigation projects to prepare for sea level rise as well as other impacts. States could use the grant funding to update their coastal management plans or implement climate change adaptation strategies, such as identifying areas with the greatest risk of sea level rise and implementing plans to protect coastal infrastructure at risk of flooding. Coastal counties are home to more than 50% of the U.S. population and provide 58% of the country’s GDP, with nearly five million Americans living within four feet of the high tide level. Advanced planning and investment to prepare for sea level rise benefits not only these individuals, but also the millions more who depend on the regional and national economic benefits of coastal ports, fishing, and tourism.

Additionally, Capps re-introduced the Water System Resiliency and Sustainability Act, which would help drinking water, wastewater, and stormwater utilities prepare for the impacts of climate-related risks to our water supplies. Drought, sea level rise, and severe storms, all of which would significantly impact water systems and availability, are expected to grow more frequent and severe due to climate change.

Under the legislation, drinking water, wastewater, and stormwater utilities could apply for EPA matching funds to implement projects, such as the emergency pumping system recently installed at Lake Cachuma, that address the most significant climate-related risks and benefit the largest numbers of water users. Utilities may use the federal matching funds on projects that build resiliency to changing hydrological conditions, including through water conservation and efficiency measures, enhancing water management through source water protection and green infrastructure, or facilitating the use of advanced technologies -- such as water reuse and recycling -- to increase available water supplies.

Extreme weather and changing hydrological conditions will pose new challenges for our country's communities in the coming decades, as severe drought, melting snowpack, rising sea levels, and more frequent heavy precipitation events will have widespread effects on water quality and quantity," said Association of Metropolitan Water Agencies Executive Director Diane VanDe Hei. **"Our nation's water and wastewater utilities will need additional resources to prepare for the coming changes, and to undertake the necessary measures to adapt. The 'Water Infrastructure Resiliency and Sustainability Act' answers this call by offering assistance to communities that are planning projects to keep their water clean, safe, and plentiful in the face of these challenges. AMWA thanks Congresswoman Capps for introducing this important legislation, and we look forward to working with her to build its support."**

The Climate Change Health Protection and Promotion Act would provide public health officials with the tools and resources they need to effectively track and prepare for the significant public health challenges that will come with climate change, like increased rates of asthma and other respiratory illnesses, vector-borne diseases, life-threatening temperatures, and food shortages. A new survey of more than 900 doctors found the majority of them believe climate change is already negatively affecting the health of their patients. In fact, 77 percent of the doctors who responded reported increases in air pollution due to climate change are worsening the severity of illnesses in their patients, and they also expect these health impacts to increase.

Climate change presents a growing threat to our nation's health," said Georges C. Benjamin, MD, Executive Director of American Public Health Association. **"Our nation's public health departments play a pivotal role in addressing the unique health challenges of climate change in communities across the country; however, too many health departments lack the resources to do so. The bill introduced today would enhance their capacity and help protect our communities, especially the most vulnerable, including people living in poverty, the**

elderly and young children, from the health effects of climate change. We are grateful to Rep. Capps for her continued leadership to combat the health threats associated with climate change.”

###



American Rivers
Rivers Connect Us



AMERICAN
SOCIETY OF
LANDSCAPE
ARCHITECTS

Green Since 1899



ASSOCIATION OF
METROPOLITAN
WATER AGENCIES



NACWA
A Clear Commitment to America's Waters

NAWC
National Association
of Water Companies



Water Environment
Federation
the water quality people®

WATERREUSE

WUCA
Water Utility Climate Alliance

March 5, 2015

The Honorable Lois Capps
2231 Rayburn House Office Building
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congresswoman Capps:

On behalf of the undersigned water utility and environmental organizations, we write to you today in support of H.R. 1278, the “Water Infrastructure Resiliency and Sustainability Act of 2015.” This legislation will address a critical need by helping communities across the country ensure their drinking water, wastewater, and stormwater infrastructure is able to withstand the variety of threats posed by changing hydrologic conditions and extreme weather.

NASA and NOAA recently announced that 2014 was Earth’s warmest year on record, and extreme weather events – ranging from severe storms in the East to prolonged drought in the West – continue to batter our nation. H.R. 1278 outlines a policy to help our nation’s drinking water, wastewater, and stormwater utilities build resiliency to these new challenges and position themselves to continue providing affordable, quality water service into the coming decades.

The “Water Infrastructure Resiliency and Sustainability Act of 2015” will offer competitive matching funds to help communities undertake projects to adapt their infrastructure to extreme weather events and the long term impacts of changing hydrologic conditions. Eligible projects will include those that conserve water or increase efficiency in its use, preserve or improve water quality, rebuild or relocate threatened infrastructure, protect source waters and ecosystems, and implement advanced treatment technologies such as water reuse and recycling.

The legislation encourages water systems to utilize innovative infrastructure approaches that will serve as models for other communities struggling with similar water management challenges. Local water utilities will also be able to use funding assistance to increase their use of renewable energy or to conduct local-level analyses of future water resource challenges they will face. In sum, the legislation will encourage

communities across the country to build resiliency into their infrastructure today, while helping ensure uninterrupted water and wastewater service for decades to come.

Again, we support H.R. 1278, the “Water Infrastructure Resiliency and Sustainability Act of 2015” as an important step in our nation’s effort to adapt its critical infrastructure to extreme weather events and changing hydrologic conditions. We thank you for your leadership on this issue, and look forward to working with you toward the passage of this legislation.

Sincerely,

American Public Works Association
American Rivers
American Society of Landscape Architects
Association of California Water Agencies
Association of Metropolitan Water Agencies
California Association of Sanitation Agencies
National Association of Clean Water Agencies
National Association of Water Companies
National Wildlife Federation
Natural Resources Defense Council
Water Environment Federation
WaterReuse Association
Water Utility Climate Alliance