

August 22, 1995

Metropolitan Water District of Southern California 1111 Sunset Boulevard P O Box 54153 Los Angeles, California 90054

Attn: Board of Directors

Gentlemen:

As you are aware, we have responded to the first Environmental Impact Report prepared by your staff in 1993 and have responded to the second Report prepared in 1994. The latest response from the MWD dated August 9, 1995 and mailed by U.S. mail certified was attempted to be delivered August 15 th but due to the fact I was out of town was not received by me until August 22, 1995. The MWD has taken a year to respond to my letter and yet is only giving concerned individuals less than one week to review all letters responded, the modifications and other information that is necessary to review. It is also interesting to note that some people received this latest booklet by Federal Express with an earlier arrival date.

Although I have only had a very brief time to review this latest presentation, I do not feel the responses to my response are adequate. I further feel the comments to the Eagle Valley Mutual Water Company response are totally inadequate and in many cases are not accurate. The failure of the New DEIR to adequately address the issues stated by myself and other responders, renders the New DEIR inadequate as an informational document and is legally insufficient to support approval of the project by the MWD Board of Directors.

My property and others are greatly affected by the proximity to this proposed project and very little consideration has bee provided the property owners. This is both illegal and immoral. I again am appalled at the total disregard and the failure to properly address the California Environmental Quality Act.

Sincerely,

J. Michael Lanni Eagle Valley Ranch