


(REVISED)
7-22**MWD**

METROPOLITAN WATER DISTRICT OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

July 10, 1992

To: Board of Directors (Executive Committee--Action)
Board of Directors (Special Committee on Legislation--Action)

From: General Manager

Subject: Opposition Unless Amended to AB 2995 (Public Water Supplier Fees)

On July 10, 1992, the Special Committee on Legislation revised the original board letter, dated June 25, 1992, to reflect the following changes (the overstrikes in this letter reflect the deletions made):

1. Subject heading to read:

Opposition ~~Unless Amended~~ to AB 2995 (Public Water Supplier Fees)

2. Paragraph three, on page 2 to read:

~~AB 2995 should be opposed, unless amended, to make the fee program more reasonable and equitable to large systems. This fee clearly makes the large systems pay the bulk of the revenue needed, but does not charge the small systems for the true costs to regulate these violation-prone systems. What is being asked of your Board now is opposition to AB 2995 in its current form. Metropolitan will continue to have a dialogue with relevant parties on a solution to user fee/primacy problem. Proposed solutions developed by the parties will be presented to your Board for approval to legislative action.~~

3. Recommendation to read:

It is recommended that the Board oppose, ~~unless amended,~~ AB 2995.

RMA
BOARD/AL7

APPROVED IN CONJUNCTION WITH
by the Board of Directors of The Metropolitan Water District of Southern California
at the meeting held

Carl Borenkay
LETTER DATED 6-25-92

JUL 14 1992

Barry E. Deff
EXECUTIVE SECRETARY